SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 585 /2005

PETITIONER : Satish Kumar Singh,
aged about 48 years,
S/o.Shri Daljit Singh,
Senior Agricultural Development
Officer Janakpur (Bharatpur)
District Korea (C.G.)

P.R. No. 585/05
Process B.L. Dewangan
dated 18.11.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Department of Agriculture
Mantralaya D.K.S., Bhawan , Raipur
(C.G.)

18/11

2. The Deputy Director
Agricultural Department
Janakpur (Bharatpur)
District Korea (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर 23

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 5851/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9-12-2005 Shri B.L. Dembra, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Janakpur, District Korea to office of Deputy Director (Agriculture), Kanker vide order dated 27-10-2005 (Annexure-P/1). He also submits that the petitioner has been transferred during the ban period. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that for the present ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner. The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till date the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays for grant of interim relief. In view of the above, it is directed that if the petitioner is not relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.4647/2005 & I.A.No.9769/2005 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	**Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**